arXiv:math/9903117v1 [math.QA] 19 Mar 1999

On $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebras and their $\mathbb{N}$-graded modules

Haisheng Li and Shuqin Wang

Abstract. Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra and let ρ be an irreducible $\mathbb{N}$-graded representation of A on W with finite-dimensional homogeneous subspaces. Then it is proved that $\rho(\tilde{A}) = gl_J(W)$, where $\tilde{A}$ is the completion of A with respect to a certain topology and $gl_J(W)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}W$, generated by homogeneous endomorphisms. It is also proved that an $\mathbb{N}$-graded vector space W with finite-dimensional homogeneous spaces is the only continuous irreducible $\mathbb{N}$-graded $gl_J(W)$-module up to equivalence, where $gl_J(W)$ is considered as a topological algebra in a certain natural way, and that any continuous $\mathbb{N}$-graded $gl_J(W)$-module is a direct sum of some copies of W. A duality for certain subalgebras of $gl_J(W)$ is also obtained.

1. Introduction

This paper was motivated by a paper of Malikov [M] and by the theory of vertex operator algebras. Malikov considered the following situation: Let A_1 and A_2 be associative algebras with unit over a field $\mathbb{F}$ and let $\rho : A_1 \otimes A_2 \to \mathrm{End}W$ be an irreducible representation of $A_1 \otimes A_2$ on W. Naturally identify A_1 and A_2 with subalgebras $A_1 \otimes \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{F} \otimes A_2$ of $A_1 \otimes A_2$, respectively. Set

$$\rho(A_i)^\wedge = \mathrm{Hom}_{A_i}(W, W) \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Clearly, $\rho(A_1) \subset \rho(A_2)^\wedge, \quad \rho(A_2) \subset \rho(A_1)^\wedge$. If W is *finite-dimensional* and $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed, the classical Brauer theorem (a special case) asserts that if W is a completely reducible A_1-module, then W is also a completely reducible A_2-module and the following duality holds:

$$\rho(A_1) = \rho(A_2)^\wedge, \;\; \rho(A_2) = \rho(A_1)^\wedge.$$

A particular case of the Brauer theorem with $A_2 = \mathbb{F}$ gives the Burnside theorem which asserts that $\rho(A) = \mathrm{End}W$.

As pointed out in [M], if W is *infinite-dimensional*, even the Burnside theorem is not true any more. Nevertheless, Malikov established certain infinite-dimensional analogues of the two classical theorems for A being a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra of a certain type. As we shall explain below, on one hand, he considered a certain (smaller) subalgebra $gl_J(W)$ of $\mathrm{End}W$ and on the other hand, he considered the

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B69; Secondary 15A78, 16W10.

H. Li was supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-9616630.

©0000 (copyright holder)

completion $\tilde{A}$ (a "bigger" algebra) of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra A with respect to a certain topology.

Let $W = \coprod_{n\geq 0} W(n)$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded vector space with all $W(n)$ being finite-dimensional. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by $(\mathrm{End} W)_m$ the space of all homogeneous endomorphisms of W of degree m. Set

$$gl_J(W) = \coprod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} (\mathrm{End} W)_m.$$

Then $gl_J(W)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{End} W$ and it is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra itself. Now let $A = \coprod_n A_n$ be any $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra. For $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $A_{n,k} = \sum_{m>k} A_{n+m}A_{-m}$. Endow A_n with a topology with $a + A_{n,k}$ for $a \in A_n,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}$ as a base of open sets (cf. [FZ], [M]). In this way, we have a topology on A and A becomes a topological algebra. Let $\tilde{A}_n$ be the completion of A_n and set $\tilde{A} = \coprod_n \tilde{A}_n$. Then $\tilde{A}$ is a topological algebra. With these notions, any $\mathbb{N}$-graded representation ρ of A on W naturally extends to a representation, denoted by $\tilde{\rho}$, of $\tilde{A}$ on W and $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{A}) \subset gl_J(W)$. Malikov proved that if A is what he called a "$\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra with involution," then $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{A}) = gl_J(W)$. Using this, Malikov easily established an analogue of the Brauer theorem (a special case).

From the definition in [M], a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra A with involution has the main features of the universal enveloping algebras of certain Lie algebras such as Kac-Moody algebras, Heisenberg algebras and the Virasoro algebra. Specifically, there exist a unit, a counit, a triangular decomposition $A = A^+ \otimes A^0 \otimes A^-$, where $A^\pm$ is a subalgebra of $A_\pm = \coprod_{n\geq 1} A_{\pm n}$ and A^0 is a commutative subalgebra of A_0, and an involution ω such that $\omega|_{A^0} = 1$, $\omega(A^\pm) = A^\mp$. Lie algebras such as affine Kac-Moody algebras, Heisenberg algebras and the Virasoro algebra are known to be important sources of vertex operator algebras (cf. [DLe], [FLM], [FZ], [Li]). On the other hand, certain $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebras also naturally come out in the study of vertex operator algebras (cf. [FZ], [DLin], [KL]). For example, to each vertex operator algebra V Frenkel and Zhu [FZ] associated a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded topological associative algebra $U(V)$ such that the category of $\mathbb{N}$-graded weak V-modules is equivalent to the category of continuous $\mathbb{N}$-graded $U(V)$-modules. For *certain* vertex operator algebras V, one may prove that $U(V)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra with involution, so that Malikov's result can be applied. *Instead*, our main goal here is to prove Malikov's analogue of the Burnside theorem for an *arbitrary* $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra A and give an analogue (more general than Malikov's) of the Brauer's theorem. It is our belief that Frenkel and Zhu's universal enveloping algebra $U(V)$ is an appropriate device in the study of some conjectured duality in vertex operator algebra theory. This is also our main motivation of this paper.

The main results and the organization of this paper are described as follows: In Section 2 we review a certain completion of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebras, define the notion of continuous module and define the topological algebra $gl_J(W)$. In Section 3, motivated by the notion of rationality (cf. [Z], [DLM2]) in vertex operator algebra theory, we prove that W is the only continuous irreducible $\mathbb{N}$-graded module for $gl_J(W)$ up to equivalence and that any continuous $\mathbb{N}$-graded module is completely reducible. This shows that $gl_J(W)$ resembles (finite-dimensional) matrix algebras. In Section 4 we prove Malikov's analogue of the Burnside theorem for an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra. In Section 5 we give a certain duality as an analogue of the Brauer's theorem. In Section 6 we give an application in vertex operator algebra theory.

One of us, H. Li, would like to thank Martin Karel for many useful discussions and collaboration in [KL].

2. A certain completion of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra

Throughout this section, $W = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} W(n)$ is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded vector space such that $\dim W(n) < \infty$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} A_n$ represents a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra and $B = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} B_n$ represents a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded topological associative algebra.

An element f of End$W$ is said to be *homogeneous of degree k* if

$$fW(n) \subset W(n+k) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $gl_J(W)_k$ be the space of all homogeneous endomorphisms of W of degree k and set

$$gl_J(W) = \coprod_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} gl_J(W)_k. \tag{2.2}$$

Clearly, $gl_J(W)$ is an (associative) subalgebra of End$W$ and it is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded unital algebra itself with respect to the grading given in (2.2).

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let p_n be the projection map of W onto $W(n)$. Then $f \in gl_J(W)$ if and only if there is a nonnegative integer r such that

$$fW(n) \subset \oplus_{m=n-r}^{n+r} W(m) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.3}$$

or equivalently,

$$p_m f p_n = 0 \quad \text{if } |m-n| > r. \tag{2.4}$$

In literatures (cf. [KP], [M]), (2.4) was commonly used to define $gl_J(W)$.

Since End$W = \prod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}(W(m), W)$, we have

$$gl_J(W)_n = \prod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}(W(m), W(m+n)). \tag{2.5}$$

In view of this, we may (and we shall) consider $gl_J(W)$ as a completion. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$(\mathrm{End}'W)_n = \coprod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}(W(m), W(m+n)). \tag{2.6}$$

Then set

$$\mathrm{End}'W = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (\mathrm{End}'W)_n. \tag{2.7}$$

That is,

$$\mathrm{End}'W = \coprod_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}(W(m), W(n)). \tag{2.8}$$

In terms of the projection maps p_m, we have

$$\mathrm{End}'W = \{f \in \mathrm{End}W \mid fp_m = 0 \quad \text{for } m \text{ sufficiently large}\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Clearly, End$'W$ is a graded subalgebra with respect to the grading given in (2.7). But End$'W$ does not have a unit. By using (2.9) it is not hard to see that End$'W$ is an ideal of $gl_J(W)$. (Thus $gl_J(W)$ is not a simple algebra.)

We shall need the following simple fact from linear algebra.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let U_1, U_2 and U_3 be finite-dimensional vector spaces with $U_2 \neq 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hom}(U_1, U_3) &= \text{Hom}(U_2, U_3) \cdot \text{Hom}(U_1, U_2) \\ &\qquad (= \textit{linear span}\{fg \mid f \in \text{Hom}(U_2, U_3),\ g \in \text{Hom}(U_1, U_2)\})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

PROOF. If either $U_1 = 0$ or $U_3 = 0$, it is clear. Suppose $U_1 \neq 0$ and $U_3 \neq 0$. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_r$ be a basis of U_1 and $v_1, \ldots, v_s$ be a basis of U_3. For any $1 \leq i \leq r,\ 1 \leq j \leq s$, let f_{ij} be the linear homomorphism defined by $f_{ij}(u_t) = \delta_{it} v_j$ for $1 \leq t \leq r$. Let $g \in \text{Hom}(U_1, U_2)$ be such that $g(u_i) \neq 0$ and $g(u_k) = 0$ for $k \neq i$ and let $f \in \text{Hom}(U_2, U_3)$ be such that $f(g(u_i)) = v_j$. Then $f_{ij} = fg$. From this (2.10) follows immediately. □

REMARK 2.2. Notice that $p_m \in \text{End}'W$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that

$$p_m f p_n \in \text{Hom}(W(n), W(m)) \ \left(\subset \text{End}'W\right)$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z},\ f \in \text{End}'W$. Then using Lemma 2.1 one can easily show that 0 and $\text{End}'W$ are the only ideals of $\text{End}'W$. That is, $\text{End}'W$ is simple.

In the following we review a certain formal completion of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra (cf. [FZ], [KL], [M]).

Let $A = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra *with or without a unit.* For $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$A_{m,k} = \sum_{n > k} A_{m+n} A_{-n} \quad (\subset A_m)\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Then

$$A_{m,k+1} \subset A_{m,k}, \quad A_n A_{m,k} \subset A_{m+n,k}, \quad A_{m,k} A_n \subset A_{m+n,k-n} \tag{2.12}$$

for $m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using $A_{m,k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ as basic open neighborhoods of $0 \in A_m$, we endow A_m a topology with which A_m becomes a topological vector space. Let $\tilde{A}_m$ be the completion with respect to this topology and then set $\tilde{A} = \coprod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{A}_m$. It follows from (2.12) that the multiplication of A is continuous so that $\tilde{A}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded topological algebra.

We can explicitly define each $\tilde{A}_m$ by using Cauchy sequences as follows: An element f of $\text{Map}(\mathbb{N}, A_m)$ is said to be *Cauchy* if for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $f_i - f_j \in A_{m,k}$ whenever $i, j \geq r$. Then all Cauchy sequences form a vector subspace $C(A_m)$ of $\text{Map}(\mathbb{N}, A_m)$. We define a relation "$\sim$" on $C(A_m)$ such that $f \sim g$ if and only if for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $f_i - g_i \in A_{m,k}$ whenever $i \geq r$. Clearly, "$\sim$" is an equivalent relation. Then define $\tilde{A_m} = C(A_m)/\sim$ and

$$\tilde{A} = \coprod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{A_m}. \tag{2.13}$$

For $f \in C(A_m),\ g \in C(A_n)$, we define $fg \in \text{Map}(\mathbb{N}, A_{m+n})$ by $(fg)_i = f_i g_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from the identity

$$f_i g_i - f_j g_j = (f_i - f_j) g_i + f_j (g_i - g_j)$$

and the property (2.12) that $fg \in C(A_{m+n})$. Similarly, if $f, f' \in C(A_m),\ g, g' \in C(A_n)$ and $f \sim f', g \sim g'$, using the identity

$$f_i g_i - f'_i g'_i = (f_i - f'_i) g_i + f'_i (g_i - g'_i)$$

and (2.12) we have $fg \sim f'g'$. Then we obtain a well defined bilinear map from $\tilde{A}_m \times \tilde{A}_n$ to $\tilde{A}_{m+n}$. Using linearity we obtain a bilinear multiplication on $\tilde{A}$. Clearly, this makes $\tilde{A}$ a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra with respect to the grading given in (2.13).

Let π be the linear map from A to $\tilde{A}$ such that $\pi(a)$ is the constant map with value a for $a \in A_m,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then π is a grading-preserving algebra homomorphism. For $a \in A_m,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\pi(a) = 0$ if and only if $a \in \cap_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} A_{m,k}$. Therefore

$$\ker \pi = \coprod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\cap_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} A_{m,k}\right). \tag{2.14}$$

If $\coprod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\cap_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} A_{m,k}\right) = 0$, A is embedded into $\tilde{A}$ as a subalgebra through π. In general, π may not be injective. However, this is not too bad when we consider the so-called lower truncated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded A-modules, which we define next.

Definition 2.3. *A lower truncated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded* A-module is an A-module M equipped with a grading $M = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M(n)$ such that for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$A_m M(n) \subset M(m+n), \tag{2.15}$$

$$M(n) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently small.} \tag{2.16}$$

Two such A-modules are said to be *equivalent* if there is a homogeneous A-module isomorphism (of some degree) from one to the other. The notion of $\mathbb{N}$-graded A-module is defined in the obvious way.

With this definition, by shifting the grading any nonzero lower truncated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded A-module M is equivalent to an $\mathbb{N}$-graded A-module $M = \coprod_{n\geq 0} M(n)$ such that $M(0) \neq 0$.

Let $M = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M(n)$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded A-module. Then for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $A_{m,k}M(n) = 0$ for k sufficiently large, hence $(\cap_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} A_{m,k})M(n) = 0$. Consequently, $(\ker \pi)M(n) = 0$ for each n, hence $(\ker \pi)M = 0$. That is, any $\mathbb{N}$-graded A-module is a natural $A/(\ker \pi)$-module. It follows that the category of $\mathbb{N}$-graded A-modules is equivalent to the category of $\mathbb{N}$-graded $A/(\ker \pi)$-modules.

We naturally extend the action of A on an $\mathbb{N}$-graded A-module M to an action of $\tilde{A}$ as follows: let $f \in \tilde{A},\ u \in M$. Since M is $\mathbb{N}$-graded, $A_{m,k}u = 0$ for k sufficiently large, so that $(f_{i+1} - f_i)(u) = 0$ for i sufficiently large. Then $f_r(u) = f_{r+1}(u) = f_{r+2}(u) = \cdots$ for some $r \geq 0$. Now we define

$$f(u) = f_r(u) = \lim_{i\to\infty} f_i(u). \tag{2.17}$$

It is routine to check that M is an $\tilde{A}$-module with the defined action. Conversely, any lower truncated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\tilde{A}$-module is a natural lower truncated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded A-module through the algebra homomorphism π.

We define the following notion of continuous module (cf. [MP]).

Definition 2.4. Let $B = \coprod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} B_m$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded topological associative algebra. A lower truncated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded B-module M is said to be *continuous* if when endowed with the discrete topology M is a continuous B-module in the usual sense, i.e., the action map from $B \times M$ to M is continuous.

Remark 2.5. With this notion, any lower truncated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded A-module M is a continuous A-module where A is endowed with the topology defined before. Indeed, for any $m_1, m_2 \in M$, let $a \in A_m$ be such that $am_1 = m_2$ and $A_{m,k}m_1 = 0$

for some k. Then $(a + A_{m,k})m_1 = m_2$. Using this one can easily show that M is a continuous module. Furthermore, a lower truncated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\tilde{A}$-module, or a representation ρ is continuous if and only if ρ is the natural extension of the representation $\rho\pi$ of A. However, *the left regular module B may not be continuous under this definition.* In fact, one can show that B is a continuous B-module if and only if the topology on B as a topological algebra is discrete.

Now we show that $\tilde{A} = gl_J(W)$ with $A = \mathrm{End}'W$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let $W = \coprod_{n\geq 0} W(n)$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded vector space with finite-dimensional homogeneous subspaces. Then $gl_J(W)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra is isomorphic to $\tilde{A}$ where $A = \mathrm{End}'W$.*

PROOF. We first review a well known fact. Let $U = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} U(n)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space. Endow U with a topology by using $u + \sum_{n\geq k} U(n)$ for $u \in U$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ as a base of open sets in U. U is a Hausdorff topological vector space because $\cap_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} U(k) = 0$. It is well known that $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} U(n)$ is the completion of the topological vector space U defined above. In view of (2.5), for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $gl_J(W)_m$ is the completion of A_m with the topology on A_m defined by using $\coprod_{n>k} \mathrm{Hom}(W(n), W(m+n))$ $(k \geq 0)$ as basic open neighborhoods of 0. Now we show that this topology on A_m is the same as the one defined by using $A_{m,k}$ for $k \geq 0$ as basic neighborhoods of 0. If $W = 0$, it is clear. Now we assume $W = \coprod_{n\geq 0} W(n)$ with $W(0) \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(W(n), W(m+n)) = \mathrm{Hom}(W(0), W(m+n))\mathrm{Hom}(W(n), W(0)) \subset A_{m+n}A_{-n}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{m+n}A_{-n} &= \sum_{r,s} \mathrm{Hom}(W(r), W(m+n+r))\mathrm{Hom}(W(s), W(s-n)) \\ &\subset \sum_{s\geq n} \mathrm{Hom}(W(s), W(m+s)) \end{aligned}$$

because $W(s-n) = 0$ for $s < n$. Thus

$$A_{m,k} = \coprod_{s>k} \mathrm{Hom}(W(s), W(m+s)). \tag{2.18}$$

Therefore, $gl_J(W)$ is the completion of $A = \mathrm{End}'(W)$ with the given topology. Furthermore, an element $\sum_n a_n$ of $gl_J(W)_m$ with $a_n \in \mathrm{Hom}(W(n), W(m+n))$ corresponds to the Cauchy sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ with $\alpha_n = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i$. From this, the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra $gl_J(W)$ is the same as the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra $\tilde{A}$. □

DEFINITION 2.7. We define $gl_J(W)$ to be the topological algebra with the topology obtained by identifying $gl_J(W)$ with $\tilde{A}$ where $A = \mathrm{End}'W$.

In view of this, a lower truncated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded continuous $gl_J(W)$-module amounts to a lower truncated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathrm{End}'W$-module. Clearly, W is a continuous $gl_J(W)$-module.

Define an element d of $gl_J(W)_0$ by

$$d|_{W(n)} = n \cdot \mathrm{id}_{W(n)} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.19}$$

Then

$$da - ad = na \quad \text{for } a \in gl_J(W)_n,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.20}$$

We have the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *The natural module W is a continuous irreducible $gl_J(W)$-module.*

PROOF. With the element d of $gl_J(W)$, it follows that any $gl_J(W)$-submodule of W is automatically graded. Since for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\oplus_{n \le k} W(n)$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{End}(\oplus_{n \le k} W(n))$-module and

$$\mathrm{End}(\oplus_{n \le k} W(n)) \subset \mathrm{End}' W \subset gl_J(W),$$

it follows that W is an irreducible $\mathbb{N}$-graded $gl_J(W)$-module. □

3. Rationality of $gl_J(W)$

As before, W will be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded space with finite-dimensional homogeneous subspaces. Our goal of this section is to prove the following:

THEOREM 3.1. *The natural module W is the unique continuous irreducible $\mathbb{N}$-graded $gl_J(W)$-module up to equivalence and any continuous $\mathbb{N}$-graded $gl_J(W)$-module is a direct sum of some copies of W.*

We shall prove this theorem as an application of a slightly more general result. The assertions of Theorem 3.1 are analogues of those for a (finite-dimensional) matrix algebra. Motivated by the notion of rationality of vertex operator algebras (cf. [Z], [DLM2]) we define the following notion:

DEFINITION 3.2. A $\mathbb{Z}$-graded topological associative algebra B is said to be *rational* if there are only finitely many irreducible $\mathbb{N}$-graded continuous B-modules up to equivalence and any $\mathbb{N}$-graded continuous B-module is a direct sum of irreducible graded modules with finite-dimensional homogeneous subspaces.

Any (finite-dimensional) semisimple algebra A (over $\mathbb{C}$) are rational where $A = A_0$. Thus the notion of rationality is a generalization of the classical notion of semisimplicity. Theorem 3.1 implies that $gl_J(W)$ is rational. It is clear that the direct sum of (finitely many) rational algebras with the product topology are still rational. Then we immediately have:

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $W_1, \dots, W_r$ be $\mathbb{N}$-graded vector spaces with homogeneous subspaces being finite-dimensional. Then $gl_J(W_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus gl_J(W_r)$ is a rational $\mathbb{Z}$-graded topological algebra.*

To prove Theorem 3.1 we shall use an analogue of Zhu's $A(V)$-theory (cf. [Z], [DLM2-3]) from vertex operator algebra theory.

Now we consider a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded topological associative algebra $B = \coprod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} B_m$ with unit. Recall that for $k \ge 0$,

$$B_{0,k} = \sum_{n > k} B_n B_{-n} \quad (\subset B_0)\,.$$

Clearly, each $B_{0,k}$ is a two-sided ideal of B_0 and so is the closure $\overline{B_{0,k}}$. Set

$$T_k(B) = B_0 / \overline{B_{0,k}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then any B_0-module U with $\overline{B_{0,k}}U = 0$ is a natural $T_k(B)$-module and on the other hand, any $T_k(B)$-module is a natural B_0-module. If $M = \coprod_{n\geq 0} M(n)$ is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded continuous B-module, then $M(n)$ is a natural $T_k(B)$-module for $k \geq n$ because $\overline{B_{0,k}}M(n) = 0$.

Set

$$B_{\leq 0} = \coprod_{n\leq 0} B_n, \tag{3.2}$$

a graded subalgebra of B. Let U be a $T_0(B)$-module. We consider U as a $B_{\leq 0}$-module with $B_nU = 0$ for $n < 0$. Form the induced B-module

$$M(U) = B \otimes_{B_{\leq 0}} U. \tag{3.3}$$

Then $M(U)$ is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded B-module with $M(U)(0) = U$. Let $J(U)$ be the sum of all graded submodule N of $M(U)$ with $N(0) = 0$ and set

$$L(U) = M(U)/J(U). \tag{3.4}$$

Then $L(U)$ is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded B-module with $L(U)(0) = U$ such that $L(U)$ as a B-module is generated by U and that for any nonzero graded submodule N of $L(U)$, $N(0) \neq 0$. Consequently, if U is an irreducible $T_0(B)$-module, $L(U)$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{N}$-graded B-module. (Here we do not claim that $L(U)$ is a continuous B-module.)

LEMMA 3.4. *Let* $W_i = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} W_i(n)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ *be* $\mathbb{N}$*-graded irreducible continuous* B*-modules with* $W_i(0) \neq 0$*. Then* $W_1 \cong W_2$ *as a* B*-module if and only if* $W_1(0) \cong W_2(0)$ *as a* $T_0(B)$*-module.*

PROOF. We only need to prove that $W_1 \cong W_2$ if $W_1(0) \cong W_2(0)$ as a $T_0(B)$-module. By the universal property of induced modules, W_1 and W_2 are natural quotient B-modules of $M(W_1(0))$ and $M(W_2(0))$ by $J(W_1(0))$ and $J(W_2(0))$, respectively. Since $W_1(0)$ and $W_2(0)$ are equivalent $B_{\leq 0}$-modules, $M(W_1(0))$ and $M(W_2(0))$ are equivalent $\mathbb{N}$-graded B-modules. Then it follows that W_1 and W_2 are equivalent B-modules. □

The following is a sufficient condition for B to be rational:

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let* $B = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} B_n$ *be a* $\mathbb{Z}$*-graded topological associative algebra equipped with an element* d *of* B_0 *such that*

$$db - bd = nb \quad \text{for } b \in B_n,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.5}$$

Then B *is rational if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(1) for every $k \geq 0$*,* $T_k(B)$ *is (finite-dimensional) semisimple;*

(2) for any two inequivalent irreducible $T_0(B)$*-modules* U_1 *and* U_2*,* $d_1 - d_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$*, where* d *acts on* U_i *as a scalar* d_i*.*

(3) for any $\mathbb{N}$*-graded continuous* B*-module* $W = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} W(n)$*,* $(B_{-n}B_n)W(0) \neq 0$ *if* $B_nW(0) \neq 0$ *for* $n \geq 1$*.*

PROOF. Let us assume (1), (2) and (3).

Claim 1: Let $M = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M(n)$ be any $\mathbb{N}$-graded continuous B-module such that $M(0)$ is an irreducible B_0-module and $M = BM(0)$. Then M is an irreducible B-module.

Since $T_0(B)$ is finite-dimensional and $M(0)$ is an irreducible $T_0(B)$-module, $M(0)$ is finite-dimensional. Then being a central element of B_0, d acts as a scalar λ on $M(0)$. From $BM(0) = M$, we get $M(n) = B_nM(0)$ for $n \geq 0$. Then

$$dw = (\lambda + n)w \quad \text{for } w \in M(n),\ n \geq 0.$$

(We call λ the *lowest weight* of M.) Consequently, any submodule of M is graded. Let $N = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} N(n)$ be any nonzero submodule of M. We shall prove that $N(0) = M(0)$, which implies that $T = M$ because $M = BM(0)$. Let k be a nonnegative integer such that $N(k) \neq 0$. Since $B_kM(0) = M(k) \supset N(k) \neq 0$, by hypothesis (3) $B_{-k}B_kM(0) = M(0)$, hence

$$B_kB_{-k}M(k) = B_kB_{-k}B_kM(0) = B_kM(0) = M(k) \neq 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Since $M(k)$ is a completely reducible B_0-module, from (3.6) there is an irreducible $T_k(B)$-submodule S of $M(k)$ such that $B_kB_{-k}S \neq 0$. Then $B_{-k}S = M(0)$ because $B_{-k}S$ is a nonzero B_0-submodule of $M(0)$ and $M(0)$ is irreducible. Consequently, $B_kB_{-k}S = B_kM(0) = M(k)$. On the other hand, $(B_kB_{-k})S \subset S$. Thus $M(k) = S$ is an irreducible $T_k(B)$-module, hence $N(k) = M(k)$. If $k = 0$, we have $N(0) = M(0)$. If $k > 0$, we have $B_{-k}M(k) = B_{-k}B_kM(0) = M(0)$, so that

$$N(0) \supset B_{-k}N(k) = B_{-k}M(k) = M(0).$$

Then $N(0) = M(0)$. Thus $N = M$. Therefore M is irreducible.

Claim 2: any $\mathbb{N}$-graded continuous B-module $W = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} W(n)$ is a direct sum of irreducible graded B-modules.

Let W^o be the sum of all irreducible graded submodules of W. We must prove that $W = W^o$. Since $T_0(B)$ is semisimple, $W(0)$ as a B_0-module is completely reducible. By Claim 1 the B-submodule generated by $W(0)$ is completely reducible, so that $W(0) \subset W^o(0)$. Suppose that for some nonnegative integer k, $W(n) = W^o(n)$ for $n \leq k$. Write $W(k+1) = W^o(k+1) \oplus P$, where P is a B_0-submodule of $W(k+1)$. Then

$$B_{-n}P \subset W(k+1-n) = W^o(k+1-n) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

hence

$$B_nB_{-n}P \subset B_nW^o(k+1-n) \subset W^o(k+1) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, $B_nB_{-n}P \subset B_0P = P$. Thus $B_nB_{-n}P = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, hence $B_{0,0}P = 0$. Since W is continuous, $\overline{B_{0,0}}P = 0$, so that P is a $T_0(B)$-module. Now we claim that $P = 0$. If $P \neq 0$, then let U be an irreducible ($T_0(B)$-) B_0-submodule of P. Then $d = \lambda$ on U for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. If $B_{-n}U = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, by Claim 1, U generates an irreducible graded B-submodule of W. By the definition of W^o, $U \subset W^o$. It is a contradiction. If $B_{-n}U \neq 0$ for some $n \geq 1$, then $\lambda' - \lambda + n \in \mathbb{N}$, where λ' is the lowest weight of some irreducible $\mathbb{N}$-graded continuous B-module because

$$B_{-n}U \subset W(k-n) = W^o(k-n) \subset W^o.$$

This contradicts Hypothesis (2). Thus $P = 0$, hence $W(k+1) = W^o(k+1)$. Therefore $W = W^o$ by induction. □

To apply Proposition 3.5 for $B = gl_J(W)$ we need to calculate $T_k(B)$ for $k \geq 0$. Recall that for $k \geq 0$, $T_k(gl_J(W)) = gl_J(W)_0/\overline{gl_J(W)_{0,k}}$, where

$$\overline{gl_J(W)_{0,k}} = \prod_{n\geq k} gl_J(W)_{m+n}\, gl_J(W)_{-n} \quad (\subset gl_J(W)_0)\,. \tag{3.7}$$

Lemma 3.6. *For $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$T_k(gl_J(W)) = \mathrm{End}W(0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{End}W(k). \tag{3.8}$$

In particular, $T_k(gl_J(W))$ is semisimple.

Proof. First, we have

$$gl_J(W)_0 = \prod_{n\geq 0} \mathrm{End}W(n). \tag{3.9}$$

By Lemma 2.1, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{End}W(n) &= \mathrm{Hom}(W(0), W(n))\mathrm{Hom}(W(n), W(0)) \\ &\subset (\mathrm{End}'W)_n(\mathrm{End}'W)_{-n}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Let π_k be the projection of $gl_J(W)_0$ onto $\oplus_{n=0}^{k}\mathrm{End}W(n)$. (This is an algebra homomorphism.) Now we calculate the kernel of π_k. By definition, $\pi_k(f) = 0$ if and only $f_0 = f_1 = \cdots = f_k = 0$, which is equivalent to that $f \in \prod_{n>k} \mathrm{End}W(n)$. Then it follows from (3.10) that $\ker \pi_k \subset \overline{gl_J(W)_{0,k}}$. Clearly, $\overline{gl_J(W)_{0,k}} \subset \ker \pi_k$. Thus, $\ker \pi_k = \overline{gl_J(W)_{0,k}}$. Therefore, π_k gives rise to an algebra isomorphism for (3.8). □

Proof of Theorem 3.1: It follows from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.4 that W is the unique continuous irreducible $\mathbb{N}$-graded $gl_J(W)$-module up to equivalence. In view of Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 it suffices to prove that $gl_J(W)_{-n}gl_J(W)_nW(0) \neq 0$ if $W(n) \neq 0$. From Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} &gl_J(W)_{-n}gl_J(W)_n|_{W(0)} \\ &\supset \mathrm{Hom}(W(n), W(0))\mathrm{Hom}(W(0), W(n))|_{W(0)} = \mathrm{End}W(0) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then Proposition 3.5 applies.

4. The analogue of the Burnside theorem

Throughout this section, A will be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra and ρ will be an irreducible $\mathbb{N}$-graded representation of A on $W = \oplus_{n\geq 0}W(n)$ where $W(0) \neq 0$ and all $W(n)$ are finite-dimensional.

Here we *do not assume* that A has a unit. By an irreducible A-module M we mean that 0 and M are the only submodules and $AM \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.1. *We have: (a) $W(n)$ is an irreducible A_0-module if $W(n) \neq 0$; (b) $W(r)$ and $W(s)$ are inequivalent A_0-modules if $r \neq s, W(r) \neq 0$ and $W(s) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Set

$$ann_W(A) = \{w \in W | Aw = 0\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Then $ann_W(A)$ is an A-submodule of W. Since $AW \neq 0$, $ann_W(A) \neq W$. By the irreducibility of W, we have $ann_W(A) = 0$, hence $Aw \neq 0$ for $0 \neq w \in W$. By the irreducibility of W again, we have $Aw = W$ for any $0 \neq w \in W$. Thus $A_m w = W(m + n)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $0 \neq w \in W(n)$. Consequently, $W(n)$ is an irreducible A_0-module and $A_mW(n) = W(m + n)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $W(n) \neq 0$. If $W(r) \neq 0, W(s) \neq 0$ for some $r > s$, then

$$(A_rA_{-r})W(r) = A_rW(0) = W(r) \neq 0, \quad (A_rA_{-r})W(s) = A_r(A_{-r}W(s)) = 0.$$

Therefore, $W(r)$ and $W(s)$ are inequivalent A_0-modules. □

Remark 4.2. Here we mention that the standard Burnside theorem still holds for algebras without a unit. Let A be an algebra without a unit and ρ be a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of A on U. First, extend A to be an algebra $\bar{A} = A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ with a unit (cf. [J1]). Then U is an irreducible $\bar{A}$-module. By the standard Burnside theorem, $\rho(A) + \mathbb{C}1 = \rho(\bar{A}) = \mathrm{End}U$. This implies that $\rho(A)$ is an ideal of $\mathrm{End}U$. Since $\mathrm{End}U$ is simple and $\rho(A) \neq 0$, we have $\rho(A) = \mathrm{End}U$. Furthermore, the following Chinese Remainder theorem also holds: if $U = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_r$ is a faithful A-module where U_i are inequivalent finite-dimensional irreducible A-modules, then $A = \mathrm{End}U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{End}U_r$.

Since each $W(n)$ is an A_0-module, the decomposition $W = \coprod_{n\geq 0} W(n)$ gives rise to an algebra homomorphism ρ_k from A_0 to $\mathrm{End}W(k)$ for each $k \geq 0$. For $k \geq 0$, set

$$W^{(k)} = \oplus_{n=0}^{k} W(n) \tag{4.2}$$

and $\rho^{(k)} = \rho_0 + \cdots + \rho_k$, an algebra homomorphism from A_0 to $\mathrm{End}W^{(k)}$.

Lemma 4.3. *For $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$\rho^{(k)}(A_0) = \mathrm{End}W(0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{End}W(k), \tag{4.3}$$

$$\rho^{(k)}(A_k A_{-k}) = \mathrm{End}W(k). \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. (4.3) directly follows from Lemma 4.1 and Remark 4.2. Since $A_k A_{-k}$ is an ideal of A_0, it follows from (4.3) that $\rho^{(k)}(A_k A_{-k})$ is an ideal of $\oplus_{j=0}^{k}\mathrm{End}W(j)$. Since $A_k A_{-k} W(n) = 0$ for $n < k$, $\rho^{(k)}(A_k A_{-k})$ is an ideal of $\mathrm{End}W(k)$. If $W(k) = 0$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose $W(k) \neq 0$. Since $A_k A_{-k} W(k) = A(k)W(0) = W(k) \neq 0$, $\rho^{(k)}(A_k A_{-k}) \neq 0$, hence $\rho^{(k)}(A_k A_{-k}) = \mathrm{End}W(k)$ because $\mathrm{End}W(k)$ is simple. □

We have the following analogue of the Burnside theorem (cf. [M]):

Theorem 4.4. *Let $A = \coprod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} A_m$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra and let W be an irreducible $\mathbb{N}$-graded A-module with $W(0) \neq 0$ and with $W(n)$ being finite-dimensional for each n. Then $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{A}) = gl_J(W)$.*

Proof. Let $\psi \in gl_J(W)_n$. As usual, we shall use a for $\rho(a)$. Recall that $gl_J(W)_n = \prod_{k\geq 0} \mathrm{Hom}(W(k), W(n+k))$. Let ψ_k be the projection of ψ in the subspace $\mathrm{Hom}(W(k), W(n+k))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we are going to find a sequence of elements $a_0, a_1, \ldots$ of A such that

$$a_r \in A_{n+r} A_{-r}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\psi_0 + \cdots + \psi_r = (a_0 + \cdots + a_r)|_{W^{(r)}} \tag{4.6}$$

for $r \geq 0$. Then we will have $a_0 + a_1 + \cdots \in \tilde{A}$ and

$$\psi = \tilde{\rho}(a_0 + a_1 + \cdots). \tag{4.7}$$

Fact: for any $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(W(0), W(m))$ $(m \geq 0)$, there exists $a(\phi) \in A_m A_0$ such that $a(\phi)|_{W(0)} = \phi$.

Since $\rho^{(m)}(A_m A_{-m}) = \mathrm{End}W(m)$ (by Lemma 4.3), there are elements $b_m^{(i)} \in A_m$, $c_m^{(i)} \in A_{-m}$ such that

$$1|_{W(m)} = \sum_i b_m^{(i)} c_m^{(i)}|_{W(m)}. \tag{4.8}$$

There exist $d_i \in A_0$ such that $c_m^{(i)}\phi = d_i|_{W(0)}$ because $c_m^{(i)}\phi \in \mathrm{End} W(0) = \rho_0(A_0)$ (by Lemma 4.3). Now set

$$a(\phi) = \sum_i b_m^{(i)} d_i \in A_m A_0. \tag{4.9}$$

Then $a(\phi)|_{W(0)} = \sum_i b_m^{(i)} c_m^{(i)} \phi = \phi$.

If $n \geq 0$, by taking $m = n,\ \phi = \psi_0$, then setting $a_0 = a(\phi) \in A_n A_0$, we have

$$a_0|_{W(0)} = \psi_0.$$

If $n < 0$, we have $W(n) = W(n+1) = \cdots = W(-1) = 0$, hence $\psi_0 = \cdots = \psi_{-n-1} = 0$. In this case, we simply take $a_0 = \cdots = a_{-n-1} = 0$.

Suppose that we have already found $a_0, \cdots, a_k$ such that (4.5) and (4.6) hold for $0 \leq r \leq k$. Since

$$(\psi_{k+1} - a_0 - \cdots - a_k) b_{k+1}^{(i)}|_{W(0)} \in \mathrm{Hom}(W(0), W(n+k+1)),$$

by the previous fact, there are $e_i \in A_{n+k+1}A_0$ such that

$$(\psi_{k+1} - a_0 - \cdots - a_k) b_{k+1}^{(i)}|_{W(0)} = e_i|_{W(0)}.$$

Set

$$a_{k+1} = \sum_i e_i c_{k+1}^{(i)} \quad \in A_{n+k+1} A_{-k-1}. \tag{4.10}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+1}|_{W(k+1)} &= \sum_i e_i c_{k+1}^{(i)}|_{W(k+1)} \\ &= \sum_i e_i|_{W(0)} c_{k+1}^{(i)}|_{W(k+1)} \\ &= \sum_i (\psi_{k+1} - a_0 - \cdots - a_k) b_{k+1}^{(i)} c_{k+1}^{(i)}|_{W(k+1)} \\ &= \psi_{k+1} - (a_0 + \cdots + a_k)|_{W(k+1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

That is,

$$\psi_{k+1} = (a_0 + \cdots + a_k + a_{k+1})|_{W(k+1)}. \tag{4.12}$$

Since $A_{-k-1}W^{(k)} = 0$, $a_{k+1}W^{(k)} = 0$ (by (4.10)). Thus

$$\psi_0 + \cdots + \psi_k + \psi_{k+1} = (a_0 + \cdots + a_k + a_{k+1})|_{W^{(k+1)}}.$$

In this way we obtain a sequence of elements $a_0, a_1, \ldots$ with the desired properties. This completes the proof. □

For any A-module W, set

$$ann_A(W) = \{a \in A \mid aW = 0\}. \tag{4.13}$$

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.4 and the Chinese Remainder Theorem:

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let $W = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_r$ be a (semisimple) $\mathbb{N}$-graded A-module with ρ being the representation homomorphism from A to $gl_J(W)$, where W_i are inequivalent irreducible A-modules. Then ρ gives rise to an algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}$ from $\tilde{A}$ onto $\prod_{i=1}^r gl_J(W_i)$ where*

$$\ker \tilde{\rho} = \cap_{i=1}^r ann_{\tilde{A}}(W_i). \tag{4.14}$$

REMARK 4.6. The assertion of Theorem 4.4 is different from saying that $\rho(A)$ is a dense subspace of $gl_J(W)$. The last statement can be proved by using the classical density theorem as follows: for any $f \in gl_J(W)_n$, by the classical density theorem [J2], for each $k \geq 0$, there exists an $a_k \in A$ such that $f|_{W^{(k)}} = a_k|_{W^{(k)}}$. Since $(f - a_r)|_{W^{(k)}} = 0$ for $r > k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f - a_r)|_{W^{(k)}} &\in \prod_{m \geq k+1} \mathrm{Hom}(W(m), W(m+n)) \\ &\subset \prod_{m \geq k+1} \mathrm{Hom}(W(0), W(m+n))\mathrm{Hom}(W(m), W(0)) \\ &\subset \overline{\sum_{m \geq k+1} (\mathrm{End}'W)_{m+n}(\mathrm{End}'W)_{-m}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lim_{k\to\infty} \rho(a_k) = f$.

The following is analogous to an exercise in finite-dimensional algebra theory [J2] with which End$U$ can be alternatively proved to be simple (for a finite-dimensional U).

COROLLARY 4.7. *Let I be a nonzero ideal of $gl_J(W)$ and let ρ be the representation map of I on W. Then $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{I}) = gl_J(W)$.*

PROOF. If I acts irreducibly on W, by Theorem 4.4 $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{I}) = gl_J(W)$. Now it suffices to prove that W is an irreducible I-module.

Since I is a (left) ideal, for every $w \in W$, $I \cdot w$ is a $gl_J(W)$-submodule of W, hence $I \cdot w = W$ if $I \cdot w \neq 0$. Now it suffices to prove that $I \cdot w \neq 0$ for every nonzero $w \in W$. Since I is a (right) ideal, $ann_W(I)$ is a $gl_J(W)$-submodule of W, hence $ann_W(I) = 0$ or W. We must have $ann_W(I) = 0$ because W is faithful and $I \neq 0$. Thus $I \cdot w \neq 0$ if $w \neq 0$. □

5. The analogue of the Brauer theorem

Throughout this section $W = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} W(n)$ will be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded vector space such that $W(0) \neq 0$ and all $W(n)$ are finite-dimensional, and A will be a graded subalgebra containing 1 of $gl_J(W)$ such that W is a semisimple A-module. From the assumption, Schur's Lemma holds for each of the irreducible A-submodules of W. Set

$$C(A) = \{b \in gl_J(W) \mid ab = ba \quad \text{for } a \in A\}. \tag{5.1}$$

Then $C(A)$ is a graded subalgebra of $gl_J(W)$.

Let U_i $(i \in I)$ be a complete set of representatives of equivalence classes of irreducible A-submodules of W. Then we have a canonical decomposition

$$W = \coprod_{i\in I} W_i, \tag{5.2}$$

where W_i is the sum of all graded A-submodules of W which are equivalent to U_i for $i \in I$. Furthermore, each W_i can be canonically decomposed as

$$W_i = U_i \otimes V_i, \tag{5.3}$$

where $V_i = \mathrm{Hom}_A(U_i, W)$ for $i \in I$. (Schur's Lemma was used here.) The following proposition is a simple analogue of the classical duality result (cf. [DLM1], [H]).

Proposition 5.1. *The spaces* $V_i\ \ (=\mathrm{Hom}_A(U_i, W))\ \ (i\in I)$ *are inequivalent irreducible graded* $C(A)$*-modules, so that* W *is a semisimple* $C(A)$*-module.*

Proof. (1) For any fixed $i\in I$, let $f, g\in \mathrm{Hom}_A(U_i, W)$ be any two linearly independent homogeneous elements of degrees r and s, respectively. We decompose W as

$$W = U_i\otimes \mathbb{C}f\oplus U_i\otimes\mathbb{C}g\oplus T,$$

where T is an A-submodule of W. Define $b\in \mathrm{End}W$ by

$$b(u\otimes f+v\otimes g+t)=u\otimes g+v\otimes f+t \tag{5.4}$$

for $u, v\in U_i, t\in T$. It follows directly that $ab=ba$ for all $a\in A$. From (5.4) we have $p_mbp_n=0$ if $|m-n|>|r-s|$. Thus $b\in gl_J(W)$, hence $b\in C(A)$. Clearly, $bf=g$. Therefore $\mathrm{Hom}_A(U_i, W)$ is an irreducible graded $C(A)$-module.

(2) For $i\neq j\in I$, let

$$W=U_i\oplus U_j\oplus S,$$

where S is an A-submodule of W. Define $e_i\in\mathrm{End}W$ by

$$e_i|_{U_i}=1,\ \ e_i|_{U_j}=0,\ \ e_i|_S=0. \tag{5.5}$$

Then $e_i\in gl_J(W)$ and $e_i\in C(A)$. Since $e_iU_i=U_i$ and $e_iU_j=0$, U_i and U_j are inequivalent $C(A)$-modules. □

We shall continue studying $C(A)$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let* A *be a graded subalgebra containing* 1 *of* $gl_J(W)$ *such that* W *is a semisimple* A*-module. Then*

$$C(A)=\coprod_{i\in I}\left(\mathbb{C}\otimes gl_J(V_i)\right), \tag{5.6}$$

$$C(C(A))=\coprod_{i\in I}\left(gl_J(U_i)\otimes\mathbb{C}\right)=\rho(\tilde{A}). \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$C(A)\supset\coprod_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}\otimes gl_J(V_i). \tag{5.8}$$

Conversely, let $b\in C(A)$. Then $bW_i\subset W_i$ for $i\in I$, that is,

$$b(U_i\otimes V_i)\subset (U_i\otimes V_i).$$

For each fixed i, let $v_j\ (j\in J)$ be a basis for V_i and let v be any nonzero vector in V_i. Define linear endomorphisms ψ_j of U_i by

$$b(u\otimes v)=\sum_{j\in J}\psi_j(u)\otimes v_j \tag{5.9}$$

for $u\in U_i$. Since $b\in C(A)$, each ψ_j is an A-endomorphism. By Schur's Lemma we have $\psi_j=\alpha_j\in\mathbb{C}$ for $j\in J$. Thus $b(u\otimes v)=u\otimes\left(\sum_{j\in J}\alpha_jv_j\right)\in u\otimes V_i$. Therefore

$$b(u\otimes v)\in u\otimes V_i \tag{5.10}$$

for all $u\in U_i,\ v\in V_i$. It follows that $b\in\coprod_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}\otimes gl_J(V_i)$. This proves (5.6).

Since $V_i\ (i\in I)$ are irreducible graded $C(A)$-modules (by Proposition 5.1), using (5.5) we have

$$C(C(A))=\coprod_{i\in I}gl_J(U_i)\otimes\mathbb{C}. \tag{5.11}$$

Then it follows from Theorem 4.4 that $C(C(A)) = \rho(\tilde{A})$. □

By Corollary 3.3, $\oplus_{i\in I}(\mathbb{C}\otimes gl_J(V_i))$ is a rational graded associative algebra if I is finite. In view of Proposition 5.2, $C(A)$ with a certain topology is a rational graded associative algebra. Next we shall prove that $\oplus_{i\in I}(\mathbb{C}\otimes gl_J(V_i))$ is a topological subspace of $gl_J(W)$, so that $C(A)$ with the induced topology from $gl_J(W)$ is rational.

Let $U' = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} U'(n)$ be a graded subspace of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space U. In Section 2, we have defined a Hausdorff topology on U. Then we have two topologies on U', which are the induced topology from U and the topology defined by using its own homogeneous subspaces. These two topologies on U' are in fact the same because for $u\in U,\ k\in\mathbb{Z}$,

$$U'\cap\left(u+\sum_{n\ge k}U(n)\right) = \cup_{u'}\left(u'+\sum_{n\ge k}U'(n)\right),$$

where u' runs through the set on the left hand side.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that $W = W_1\oplus\cdots\oplus W_r$, where $W_1,\dots,W_r$ are graded subspaces of W. With this decomposition we identify each $gl_J(W_i)$ as a subspace of $gl_J(W)$. Then the topological space $gl_J(W_i)$ is a topological subspace of $gl_J(W)$.*

Proof. View $\mathrm{End}'W_i$ as a graded subspace of $\mathrm{End}'W$. Then from the discussion right before this lemma $\mathrm{End}'W_i$ is a topological subspace of $\mathrm{End}'W$. Since $gl_J(W_i)$ and $gl_J(W)$ are the completions of $\mathrm{End}'W_i$ and $\mathrm{End}'W$, respectively, $gl_J(W_i)$ is a topological subspace of $gl_J(W)$. □

Now we have:

Corollary 5.4. *If I is finite, the commutant algebra $C(A)$ with the induced topology is rational and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(U_i,W)$ for $i\in I$ exhaust all inequivalent irreducible $\mathbb{N}$-graded continuous $C(A)$-modules.*

Proof. In view of Corollary 3.3, we need to prove that $\coprod_{i\in I}(\mathbb{C}\otimes gl_J(V_i))$ is a topological subspace of $gl_J(W)$. Since $\mathbb{C}\otimes gl_J(V_i)$ is the completion of $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathrm{End}'V_i$ and $\mathrm{End}'(U_i\otimes V_i)$ is a topological subspace of $gl_J(W)$ (by Lemma 5.3), it suffices to prove that $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathrm{End}'V_i$ is a topological subspace of $\mathrm{End}'(U_i\otimes V_i)$. This is true because for $m\in\mathbb{Z}$,

$$(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathrm{End}'V_i)_m = \oplus_n\left(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathrm{Hom}(V_i(n),V_i(m+n))\right)$$

with respect to this very grading is a graded subspace of

$$(\mathrm{End}'W)_m = \oplus_n\mathrm{Hom}(W(n),W(m+n))$$

Then the proof is complete. □

Let $I = ann_{\tilde{A}}\rho$. Then A is a subalgebra of $\tilde{A}/I$. We cannot prove that A is rational with the induced topology if I is finite, however, the extension $\tilde{A}/I$ of A is rational.

6. An application to vertex operator algebras

Throughout this section, V will be a vertex operator algebra. We shall use standard definitions and notations as defined in [FLM] and [FHL].

In [FZ], Frenkel and Zhu associated a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded topological algebra $U(V)$ to V such that the category of V-modules and the category of continuous $U(V)$-modules of a certain type are equivalent. Now we recall the definition of $U(V)$. Let A be the free associative algebra with unit on the vector space $\hat{V} = V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. By assigning a degree $\mathrm{wt} v - n - 1$ to each element $v \otimes t^n$ for homogeneous $v \in V,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$, A becomes a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra. Since the Jacobi identity ([B], [FLM], [FHL]) involves infinite sums, it is necessary to complete A before to enforce the Jacobi identity relation. Let $\tilde{A}$ be the completion defined in Section 2. Let Jac be the two-sided ideal of $\tilde{A}$ generated by the following relations:

$$\mathbf{1}(n) = \delta_{n,-1}, \tag{6.1}$$

$$(L(-1)u)(n) = -nu(n-1), \tag{6.2}$$

$$\sum_{i\geq 0} \binom{l}{i} (-1)^i u(m+l-i)v(n+i) - \sum_{i\geq 0} \binom{l}{i} (-1)^{l+i} v(n+l-i)u(m+i) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \binom{m}{i} (u_{l+i}v)(m+n-i) \tag{6.3}$$

for $u, v \in V,\ l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $v(n) = v \otimes t^n$. Set $U(V) = \tilde{A}/Jac$. This is the Frenkel and Zhu's universal enveloping algebra associated to V. Then any V-module is a natural continuous $U(V)$-module where $u(m)$ is represented by u_m for $u \in V,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As a corollary of Theorem 4.4 we have:

THEOREM 6.1. *Let V be a vertex operator algebra and let W be an irreducible V-module. Let ρ be the algebra homomorphism from $U(V)$ to $gl_J(W)$. Then $\rho(U(V)) = gl_J(W)$.*

PROOF. Since W is an irreducible V-module, W is an irreducible A-module. By Theorem 4.4, $\rho(\tilde{A}) = gl_J(W)$. Since W is a V-module, $Jac \cdot W = 0$. Thus

$$\rho(U(V)) = \rho(\tilde{A}) = gl_J(W).$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 6.1 and Chinese Remainder Theorem immediately imply:

COROLLARY 6.2. *Let $W = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_r$, where W_i are inequivalent irreducible V-modules. Then*

$$U(V)/I = gl_J(W_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus gl_J(W_r), \tag{6.4}$$

where $I = \cap_{i=1}^r ann_{U(V)} W_i$.

Recall from [Z] (cf. [DLM2]) that V is said to be *rational* if any continuous $\mathbb{N}$-graded $U(V)$-module is completely reducible. We end this paper with the following:

CONJECTURE 6.3. *Let V be a rational vertex operator algebra. Then*

$$U(V) = gl_J(W_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus gl_J(W_r), \tag{6.5}$$

where $W_1, \ldots, W_r$ form a complete set of representatives of equivalent classes of irreducible V-modules.

References

[B] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.

[DLe] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators, Progress in Math., **Vol. 112**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[DLM1] C. Dong, H.-S. Li and G. Mason, Compact automorphism groups of vertex operator algebras, *IMRN.* No. **18** (1996), 913-921.

[DLM2] C. Dong, H.-S. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 561-600.

[DLM3] C. Dong, H.-S. Li and G. Mason, Vertex operator algebras and associative algebras, *J. Algebra* **206** (1998), 67-96.

[DLin] C. Dong and Z. Lin, Induced modules for vertex operator algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **179** (1996), 157-184.

[FHL] I. B. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs American Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.

[FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, Pure and Applied Math.,* **Vol. 134**, Academic Press, New York, 1988.

[FZ] I. B. Frenkel and Y.-C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.

[H] R. Howe, Remarks on classical invariant theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **313** (1989), 539-570.

[J] N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra I, II*, Freeman, New York, 1985.

[KP] V. G. Kac and D. H. Peterson, Spin and wedge representations of infinite-dimensional algebras and groups, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **78**(1981), 3308-3312.

[KL] M. Karel and H.-S. Li, Certain generating spaces of vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra*, to appear; math-qa/9807111.

[Li] H.-S. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **109** (1996) 143-195.

[LW] James Lepowsky and Robert Lee Wilson, The structure of standard modules. I. Universal algebras and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities. *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), no. 2, 199–290.

[M] F. Malikov, On a duality for $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebras and modules, *Advances in Soviet Mathematics* **Vol. 17** (1993), 103-114.

[MP] A. Meurman and Mirko Primc, Annihilating ideals of standard modules of $sl(2,\mathbb{C})^{\sim}$ and combinatorial identities, *Adv. in Math.* **64** (1987), 177-240.

[W] H. Weyl, *The classical groups, their invariants and representations*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ 1939.

[Z] Y.-C. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer, Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237-302.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Rutgers University, Camden, NJ 08102
E-mail address: `hli@crab.rutgers.edu`

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Harbin Institute of Technology at Weihai, Weihai, Shandong 264209, P. R. China